

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087402.33	74 _ 83/16/95	DORROH IX	M	02481.0790-0
	/			

18N2/0821

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARREIT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

SACUD, EXA	
	-,
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

08/21/98

GROUP 1800

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

BEST AVAILABLE GOPY

ADVISORY ACTION

X	TI	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a)	Z	is extended to run <u>Umos</u> or continues to run from the date of the final reje	otion
b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final	ever is later. In no rejection.
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth	the date for the pursuant to 37 CFR
	Α	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
×	A	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8/2/96 has been considered with the following effect, to place the application in condition for allowance:	but it is not deemed
,, 1.	X	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands to	ecause:
	۰. ن	 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and presented. 	was not earlier
* .	7 .	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
		c. X They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	•
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify appeal.	ring the issues for
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
		NOTE: The limitation "under conditions where no crystal formed" is new matter as waspointed out on paper #29. Applicants arguments are directed to limitation, and therefore will not be addressed at the	page 2 of pards this
2.	L	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed at the non-allowable claims.	nendment cancelling
3.	Z	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status be as follows:	of the claims will
		Claims allowed:	
		Claims objected to: 1/2 25 25 27	
		Claims rejected: 21 - 23 , 25 - 27 However:	
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
			· ·
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection	Decause
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons wh presented.	y it was not earlier
] TI	The proposed drawing correction \(\square\) has \(\square\) has not been approved by the examiner.	. ~
] 0	Other	
		PRIA	JOHN ULM